






TARGETS FROM THE COMMUNITY SAFETY PLAN 2011-12

1. ANTI SOCIAL BEHAVIOUR TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status																																																																							
	1.1	<p>Reduce repeat callers to the Police, MAASBT and RSLs.</p> <p>Monitor all repeat callers to identify those who may be vulnerable. Provide support to them via the ASB Victim/Witness Support Officer.</p> <p>Baseline: We will assess the number of calls six months prior to the support and six months after.</p>	All repeat callers to both the Police and the Local Authority are being monitored and cross-referenced. A cohort has been identified for the baseline and the six month update is due next quarter.	There is only one repeat caller where six months has elapsed since support has been offered. Calls reduced from 5 to 1 for this individual.	Most of ASB V/W support officer case load are not repeat callers and many are encouraged to contact the team so calls can increase meaning that there has not been a reduction in calls / contacts made.	As previously reported the majority of the ASB V/W support officer caseload are not repeat callers and any contacts are encouraged to continue to report so there is no reduction in the number of repeat calls.																																																																								
	1.2	<p>Reduce the perception that ASB is a serious problem.</p> <p>Clarify what types of issues residents consider anti social, whether they report this and if so to whom (via focus groups). Measure satisfaction and perception levels in the Viewpoint/Council yearly survey.</p> <p>Baseline: 23.2% of residents perceived high levels of ASB from the Place Survey 2008</p>	<p>The perception survey is not due this quarter.</p> <p>Three focus groups have ran in quarter one; two were for adults and one was for young people.</p>	The perception survey is not due this quarter.	No survey within this quarter. New Regional Resident Survey is in the process of being finalised to take place June 2012.	No further update in final quarter, survey proposed for June 2012.	n/a																																																																							
	1.3	<p>Reduce Anti Social Behaviour in the top five wards.</p> <p>We will identify the wards with the highest levels of ASB. Through the Joint Action Group process support and diversionary resources will be directed to hotspots within these areas.</p> <p>Baseline: 2010/11* (reset since CSP printed)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stockton Town Centre - 2,541 (499) Mandale & Victoria - 1,161 (204) Hardwick - 1,073 (104) Parkfield & Oxbridge - 1,056 (68) Newtown - 893 (80) <p>* Police incidents minus AS2 & AS4 and (MAASBT service Reqs)</p>	<p>The top five wards were in a slightly different order in Q1. MAASBT Service requests have reduced in all wards except P&O. Police incidents have increased in each ward except Hardwick.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="824 1134 1126 1273"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>Police Inc</th> <th>MA-ASBT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>643 1%</td> <td>102 -18%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>368 27%</td> <td>37 -27%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>264 -2%</td> <td>22 -15%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>288 9%</td> <td>20 18%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>278 25%</td> <td>15 -25%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT	STC	643 1%	102 -18%	M&V	368 27%	37 -27%	H'wck	264 -2%	22 -15%	P&O	288 9%	20 18%	N'twn	278 25%	15 -25%	<p>The top five wards remain the same for quarter two. Police ASB incidents have increased by 7% in these wards.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1144 1058 1420 1195"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>Police Inc</th> <th>MA-ASBT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>1332 5%</td> <td>223 -11%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>734 26%</td> <td>67 -34%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>546 2%</td> <td>74 42%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>512 -3%</td> <td>38 12%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>481 8%</td> <td>42 5%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT	STC	1332 5%	223 -11%	M&V	734 26%	67 -34%	H'wck	546 2%	74 42%	P&O	512 -3%	38 12%	N'twn	481 8%	42 5%	<p>The top five wards remain the same for quarter three. Police ASB incidents have increased by 6% in these wards.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1438 1058 1727 1197"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>Police Incs</th> <th>MA-ASBT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>1937 -4%</td> <td>395 6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>1031 10%</td> <td>104 -32%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>731 -15%</td> <td>97 24%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>733 -15%</td> <td>52 2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>637 -13%</td> <td>70 17%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ward	Police Incs	MA-ASBT	STC	1937 -4%	395 6%	M&V	1031 10%	104 -32%	H'wck	731 -15%	97 24%	P&O	733 -15%	52 2%	N'twn	637 -13%	70 17%	<p>The top five wards remain the same for quarter three. Police ASB incidents have reduced by 6% in these wards & MA-ASBT have increased by 1%.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1756 1066 2027 1214"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>Police Inc</th> <th>MA-ASBT</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>2392 -6%</td> <td>544 9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>1283 11%</td> <td>131 -36%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>891 -17%</td> <td>117 13%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>965 -9%</td> <td>67 -1%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>803 -10%</td> <td>103 29%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT	STC	2392 -6%	544 9%	M&V	1283 11%	131 -36%	H'wck	891 -17%	117 13%	P&O	965 -9%	67 -1%	N'twn	803 -10%	103 29%
Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT																																																																												
STC	643 1%	102 -18%																																																																												
M&V	368 27%	37 -27%																																																																												
H'wck	264 -2%	22 -15%																																																																												
P&O	288 9%	20 18%																																																																												
N'twn	278 25%	15 -25%																																																																												
Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT																																																																												
STC	1332 5%	223 -11%																																																																												
M&V	734 26%	67 -34%																																																																												
H'wck	546 2%	74 42%																																																																												
P&O	512 -3%	38 12%																																																																												
N'twn	481 8%	42 5%																																																																												
Ward	Police Incs	MA-ASBT																																																																												
STC	1937 -4%	395 6%																																																																												
M&V	1031 10%	104 -32%																																																																												
H'wck	731 -15%	97 24%																																																																												
P&O	733 -15%	52 2%																																																																												
N'twn	637 -13%	70 17%																																																																												
Ward	Police Inc	MA-ASBT																																																																												
STC	2392 -6%	544 9%																																																																												
M&V	1283 11%	131 -36%																																																																												
H'wck	891 -17%	117 13%																																																																												
P&O	965 -9%	67 -1%																																																																												
N'twn	803 -10%	103 29%																																																																												


2. ALCOHOL RELATED CRIME AND ASB	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	2.1	<p>Increase the number of section 27 notices issued.</p> <p>Aim for an overall increase in 2011-12, particularly in the top five wards.</p> <p>Baseline: 390 taken from an average of 2009/10 and 2010/11.</p> <p>Top five wards:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stockton Town Centre 2. Yarm 3. Norton North 4. Parkfield & Oxbridge 5. Stainsby Hill 	<p>103 Section 27s issued in Q1; a 6% increase on the baseline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STC 76 • Yarm 18 • M&V 3 • Norton North 3 • Eaglescliffe 1 • P&O 1 • Village 1 	<p>60 Section 27s issued in Q2; a vast reduction from Q1. 163 issued YTD, 16% reduction on the baseline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STC 48 • Yarm 7 • M&V 1 • Norton South 1 • Hardwick 1 • P&O 1 • B'ham Central 1 	<p>144 Section 27s issued in Q3; a vast improvement from Q2. 307 issued YTD- 5% increase on the baseline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • STC 103 • Yarm 38 • P&O 2 • Norton North 1 	<p>79 section 27s issued in Q4, with 387 issued YTD, this is a -0.8% reduction on the set baseline. However 97% of the S27s were from the top four wards ie STC, Yarm, P&O and Norton North.</p>	☺
	2.2	<p>Reduce the number of alcohol related crimes for those on an ATR.</p> <p>Cohort taken using ATR data to identify offending one year prior, during and one year after an ATR.</p> <p>Baseline: To be identified in 2011-12.</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year.</p> <p>Report to be presented at November SSP with findings.</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline figures for 2011/12 are 79 starts on an ATR with 35 completions and 13 breached.</p>	☺
	2.3	<p>Reduce the number of alcohol related crimes for those on an ASAR</p> <p>Cohort taken using ASAR data to identify offending one year prior, during and one year after an ASAR.</p> <p>Baseline: To be identified in 2011-12.</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year.</p> <p>Report to be presented at November SSP with findings.</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline figure for 2011/12 for ASR is 53 starts, 18 completions and 3 breached.</p>	☺

3. VIOLENCE TARGETS

No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status																																																															
3.1	<p>Maintain a reduction in Most Serious Violent Crime</p> <p>Reduce year on year</p> <p>Baseline: 82 taken from an average of 2009/10 and 2010/11</p>	<p>27 MSVCs in Q1; a reduction of 7 crimes (-20.6%) on Q1 2010-11. This is slightly above target but crime is always highest in Q1 and should even out.</p>	<p>52 MSVCs YTD; an increase of 5 crimes (+10.6%) on 2010-11 and 26.8% (11 crimes) above the target to achieve this year.</p>	<p>80 MSVCs YTD; an increase of 19 crimes (+31.1%) on 2010-11 and 30.1% (18.5 crimes) above the target for this year. This target will not be achieved.</p>	<p>100 MSVCs YTD; an increase of 22 crimes (+28.2%) on 2010-11 and 22% (18 crimes) above the target for this year. This target has not been achieved.</p>																																																																
3.2	<p>Reduce Violence Against the Person offences in the top five wards.</p> <p>Use analysis to identify hotspots within wards and use this data to direct activity.</p> <p>Reduce by April 2012</p> <p>Baseline: 2010/11.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stockton Town Centre - 464 2. Parkfield & Oxbridge - 164 3. Mandale & Victoria - 150 4. Newtown - 141 5. Hardwick - 116 	<p>Overall violence has reduced by 1% in baseline top five wards, but there have been increase in three wards.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. STC - 107 (-8%) 2. P&O - 46 (+12%) 3. M&V - 40 (+7%) 4. N'twn - 41 (+16%) 5. H'wck - 22 (-24%) <p>NB: Hardwick did not feature in the top five wards for Q1 - Norton North was the 5th ward.</p>	<p>Overall violence has increased by 4.3% in the top five wards, compared to an overall 12.2% reduction for Borough.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>YTD</th> <th>% chg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>237</td> <td>2.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>87</td> <td>16.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>80</td> <td>-2.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'town</td> <td>78</td> <td>10.6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wick</td> <td>58</td> <td>0.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total top 5</td> <td>540</td> <td>4.3%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>NB: Hardwick does not feature in the top five wards YTD (7th overall) B'ham East is the 5th ward.</p>	Ward	YTD	% chg	STC	237	2.2%	P&O	87	16.0%	M&V	80	-2.4%	N'town	78	10.6%	H'wick	58	0.0%	Total top 5	540	4.3%	<p>Overall violence has increased by 3.2% in the top five wards, compared to an overall 5.5% reduction for Borough.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>YTD</th> <th>% Chg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>363</td> <td>4.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>101</td> <td>-17.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>142</td> <td>26.2%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>113</td> <td>6.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wck</td> <td>82</td> <td>-5.7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>801</td> <td>3.2%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>NB: Hardwick does not feature in the top five wards YTD (6th overall) B'ham East is the 5th ward.</p>	Ward	YTD	% Chg	STC	363	4.3%	P&O	101	-17.9%	M&V	142	26.2%	N'twn	113	6.9%	H'wck	82	-5.7%	Total	801	3.2%	<p>Overall for 2011/12 violence has increased by 5% in the top five wards, with a 3.2% increase in violence throughout the Borough.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Ward</th> <th>YTD</th> <th>% Chg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>STC</td> <td>506</td> <td>9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>M&V</td> <td>187</td> <td>25%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>N'twn</td> <td>150</td> <td>6%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>P&O</td> <td>141</td> <td>-14%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>H'wick</td> <td>107</td> <td>-8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Total</td> <td>1,091</td> <td>5%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>NB: Hardwick does not feature in the top five wards YTD (6th overall) B'ham East is the 5th ward.</p>	Ward	YTD	% Chg	STC	506	9%	M&V	187	25%	N'twn	150	6%	P&O	141	-14%	H'wick	107	-8%	Total	1,091	5%	
Ward	YTD	% chg																																																																			
STC	237	2.2%																																																																			
P&O	87	16.0%																																																																			
M&V	80	-2.4%																																																																			
N'town	78	10.6%																																																																			
H'wick	58	0.0%																																																																			
Total top 5	540	4.3%																																																																			
Ward	YTD	% Chg																																																																			
STC	363	4.3%																																																																			
P&O	101	-17.9%																																																																			
M&V	142	26.2%																																																																			
N'twn	113	6.9%																																																																			
H'wck	82	-5.7%																																																																			
Total	801	3.2%																																																																			
Ward	YTD	% Chg																																																																			
STC	506	9%																																																																			
M&V	187	25%																																																																			
N'twn	150	6%																																																																			
P&O	141	-14%																																																																			
H'wick	107	-8%																																																																			
Total	1,091	5%																																																																			
3.3	<p>Reduce repeat perpetrators of Domestic Violence</p> <p>Reduce the number of perpetrators of DV who re-offend 6 months after completing a programme year on year.</p> <p>Baseline: 23 repeat perpetrators in 2010/11 known to Police prior to the completion of the programme</p>	<p>Four out of five perpetrators who completed the programme six months ago have refrained from offending.</p>	<p>Five out of six perpetrators who completed the programme six months ago have refrained from offending.</p>	<p>Unable to report figure this quarter. Cleveland Police no longer supply data to Harbour. Meeting arranged to try and resolve this issue by the end of the financial year.</p>	<p>From the perpetrators who have completed the programme two have been known to the police after completion, both were for verbal incidents and not relating to physical assaults.</p>																																																																
3.4	<p>Increase the number of repeat victims in MARAC accessing support from Harbour.</p> <p>Increase the take up of support year on year. Aim to increase the % rate of take up based on number accessing treatment as a proportion of all of repeats.</p> <p>Baseline: to be established in 2011/12</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>Baseline to be collected this financial year</p>	<p>In 2011/12, there were six repeat referrals with five working with Harbour.</p>																																																																

4. DRUG RELATED OFFENDING TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	4.1	<p>Increase the number of female drug users accessing support.</p> <p>Increase year on year</p> <p>Baseline: 365 females in treatment in 2010/11 (27% of total in treatment)</p>	311 females in treatment in Q1 2011/12 (27% of total in treatment)	332 females in treatment at Q2- 26% of 1,258 in treatment in total. This is a reduction of 1% on baseline.	In Q3 343 females in treatment (26%) of the total number which is currently 1% below target. Quarter 4 data is not available.	No update available for Q4 due mid May 2012.	
	4.2	<p>Reduce drug related repeat offending.</p> <p>Identify a cohort of drug using high crime causers. Monitor their offending 12-month prior and 12-month after being on IOM. Evaluation report to SSP April 2012</p> <p>Baseline: Cohort of 29 HCCs to be monitored 2011/12</p> <p>NB: re-set to a 12-month period for consistency with Probation recording</p>	<p>Projected outcomes at 30/06/11:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrests -19.5% • Charges -33% • Convictions -45.7% • +ve drug tests -68.6% 	<p>Projected outcomes at 30/09/11:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrests -24.7% • Charges -31.35% • Convictions -34.85% +ve drug tests -50% 	<p>Projected outcomes as at 31/12/2011</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrests -34.4% • Charges -39.4% • Convictions -34.4% +ve drug tests -57.1% 	<p>Outcomes as of 31/03/2012</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrests -32.1% • Charges -34.8% • Convictions -30.3% +ve drug tests -40.7% 	
	4.3	<p>Increase the number of people leaving treatment services drug free.</p> <p>Develop wrap around support services for substance misusers in the treatment system with a focus on abstinence.</p> <p>Baseline: 43% (141 of 328 exits were planned exits) in 2010/11</p>	53% (39 of 74) exits were planned in Q1 2011/12; 10% increase on baseline.	<p>Q2 data not available on ndtms.net until mid-November 2011.</p> <p>Data up to August shows that 52% of exists were planned (60 out of 116)</p>	Q3 showed 50% (96 of 193) planned exit rate which is a 7% increase on baseline.	Q4 data not available at the time of completion of this report.	
	4.4	<p>Reduce the number of individuals repeatedly testing positive for drugs within Arrest Referral.</p> <p>Use IOM and DIP to provided wrap around intensive support.</p> <p>Baseline: 72 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions in 2010/11.</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to end Q1 2011/12:</p> <p>68 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions.</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to end Q2 2011/12:</p> <p>56 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions (-22%)</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to end Q3 2011/12:</p> <p>49 individuals tested positive on three or more occasions (-32%)</p>	<p>Rolling 12 months to end of Q4 2011/12:</p> <p>46 individuals tested positive on 3 or more occasions (-36%)</p>	

5. CRIMINAL DAMAGE TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status																																				
	5.1	<p>Reduce Criminal Damage</p> <p>Maintain a reduction in offences of criminal damage by achieving a reduction year on year.</p> <p>Baseline: 2,589 taken from an average of 2009/10 and 2010/11.</p>	587 offences in quarter one, which is 9.3% below the target to achieve this year	1,249 offences in quarter two YTD, which is 3.5% below the target to achieve this year	1,894 offences at Q3 YTD; this is +125 offences (+7.1%) on the same period last year, but 2.5% below the target to achieve this year	2,472 offences YTD; this is +149 offences (+6.4%) on the same period last year, but 4.5% below the target set for 2011/12.	😊																																				
	5.2	<p>Reduce Criminal Damage at street level</p> <p>Strategic Group & JAGs to focus interventions on the top five streets for repeat incidents. Review quarterly with an aim to remove from repeat list.</p> <p>Baseline: 2010/11</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stirling Way (St'by Hill) = 37 2. High Street (STC) = 20 3. Mansfield Avenue (M & V) = 19 4. Tithe Barn Road (Hardwick) = 18 5. Yarm Road (P & O) = 15 	<p>All of the top five streets featured as repeats in quarter one, but there have been reductions against the baseline in all except Tithe Barn Road;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stirling Way = 6 2. High Street = 4 3. Mansfield Ave = 3 4. Tithe Barn Road = 8 5. Yarm Road = 3 	<p>Only three of the top five streets featured as repeats in Q2;</p> <p>Tithe Barn Road - 7, Mansfield Avenue - 5 and Stirling Way - 3.</p> <p>Reductions can be seen in four of the streets financial YTD compared to the baseline year:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Stirling Way = 9 (-51%) 2. High St (STC) = 5 (-50%) 3. Mansfield Ave = 8 (-16%) 4. Tithe Barn Rd = 15 (+67%) 5. Yarm Rd = 5 (-33%) 	<p>All of the top five streets featured as repeats in Q3;</p> <p>Stirling Way = 2 High Street = 3 Mansfield Ave = 4 Tithe Barn Road = 2 Yarm Road = 5</p> <p>Reductions can be seen in four of the streets financial YTD compared to the baseline year:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Street</th> <th>YTD</th> <th>%Chg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Stirling Way</td> <td>11</td> <td>-60.4%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High St</td> <td>10</td> <td>-33.3%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mansfield Ave</td> <td>12</td> <td>-15.8%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tithe Barn rd</td> <td>17</td> <td>25.9%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yarm Rd</td> <td>9</td> <td>-20.0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Street	YTD	%Chg	Stirling Way	11	-60.4%	High St	10	-33.3%	Mansfield Ave	12	-15.8%	Tithe Barn rd	17	25.9%	Yarm Rd	9	-20.0%	<p>Reductions can be seen in four of the streets financial YTD compared to the baseline year;</p> <p>Tithe Barn Road has seen the only increase:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Street</th> <th>YTD</th> <th>%Chg</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Stirling Way</td> <td>13</td> <td>-65%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>High St (STC)</td> <td>11</td> <td>-45%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Mansfield Ave</td> <td>16</td> <td>-16%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tithe Barn Rd</td> <td>28</td> <td>56%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Yarm Rd (P & O)</td> <td>13</td> <td>-13%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Street	YTD	%Chg	Stirling Way	13	-65%	High St (STC)	11	-45%	Mansfield Ave	16	-16%	Tithe Barn Rd	28	56%	Yarm Rd (P & O)	13	-13%	😐
	Street	YTD	%Chg																																								
	Stirling Way	11	-60.4%																																								
	High St	10	-33.3%																																								
	Mansfield Ave	12	-15.8%																																								
	Tithe Barn rd	17	25.9%																																								
	Yarm Rd	9	-20.0%																																								
	Street	YTD	%Chg																																								
Stirling Way	13	-65%																																									
High St (STC)	11	-45%																																									
Mansfield Ave	16	-16%																																									
Tithe Barn Rd	28	56%																																									
Yarm Rd (P & O)	13	-13%																																									
5.3	<p>Reduce the number of repeat victims of Criminal Damage.</p> <p>All repeat victims to be visited to identify cause and implement actions to reduce victimisation. Aim for a reduction in numbers year on year</p> <p>Baseline: 280 repeat victims in 2010/11</p>	In the 12-month period up to 30/06/11 there have been 27 repeat victims with three or more offences; a 61% reduction on the baseline.	In the 12-month period 01/10/10 - 30/09/11 there have been 31 repeat victims with three or more offences.	In the 12-month period 01/01/11 - 31/12/11 there have been 41 repeat victims with three or more offences.	In the full financial year 2011/12 there has been 46 repeat victims with three or more offences.	😊																																					

6. EMERGING ISSUES TARGETS	No	Performance Measure	Quarter 1	Quarter 2	Quarter 3	Quarter 4	Status
	6.1	<p>Reduce convictions for HCCs</p> <p>Use the IOM approach to provide intensive support and enforcement.</p> <p>Baseline: 111 (2010-11)</p>	<p>29 convictions in Q1.</p> <p>Projection for year is 125; a reduction of -96 (43%)</p>	<p>43 convictions in Q2.</p> <p>Projection for year is 144; a reduction of -77 (35%)</p>	<p>37 convictions this quarter</p> <p>Projection for year is 145 a reduction of -76 (34%)</p>	<p>43 convictions this quarter</p> <p>Final outturn is 154 convictions a reduction of 30.3%</p>	
6.2	<p>Increase the number of HCCs accessing treatment services.</p> <p>Identify the number of HCCs accessing treatment and monitor the number of crimes committed one year prior, during and one year after treatment</p> <p>Baseline: 28 in treatment out of a cohort of 29</p>	<p>27 out of 29 remain in treatment; 1 non-drug user and 1 refuses treatment.</p>	<p>27 out of 29 remain in treatment; 1 non-drug user and 1 refuses treatment.</p>	<p>27 out of 29 remain in treatment; 1 non-drug user and 1 refuses treatment.</p>	<p>27 out of 29 remain in treatment; 1 non-drug user and 1 refuses treatment</p>	